

List of Figures – Volume 1

1. 1	Cbp in shipyard off-loading zone; Richards bay harbour.	1 - 2
1. 2	Cbp in a busy interchange.	1 - 3
1. 3	Cbp is an urban road.	1 - 4
1. 4	Cbp as a waterproof, wear resistant surface for large areas.	1 - 5
2. 1	Various laying patterns from one block.	2 - 10
2. 2	Blocks of different shape and laying pattern	2 – 11
3. 1	Schematic layout of Schlosser paving plant.	3 – 4
3. 2	Blending of the sand to ensure uniformity.	3 – 10
3. 3	Sand being loaded into aggregate bin No. 2.	3 – 10
3. 4	Binders of known quality were poured into the top of the cement scale from bags	3 – 11
3. 5	An inside view of the control room housing the cement scale monitor - radio communication was maintained with operators at cement scale.	3 – 11
3. 6	Solenoid valves situated at the mixer are operated by a sophisticated instrument inside the control room.	3 – 12
3. 7	After moulding, the blocks are ejected from the block machine onto a rope conveyor.	3 – 12
3. 8	The gantry removes the pallets from the rope conveyor and stacks them in the initial curing area in rows.	3 – 13
3. 9	The pallets were marked with different paint colours to identify the various mix designs (the blocks could not be marked at this stage since they were still wet).	3 – 13
3.10	Prior to stacking the blocks were marked with different coloured spray paints.(at this stage the blocks were 72 hours old and hence dry enough to be painted)	3 – 14
3.11	The blocks were hand packed and sealed in a double layer of shrink-wrap plastic.	3 – 14
3.12	Blocks destined for the laboratory are numbered on their upper faces from one to eight.	3 – 15
3.13	The sealed packs are suitably identified.	3 – 15
4. 1	Personnel involved in the PCI testing programme.	4 - 2
4. 2	Compression testing machine used for all the compressive strength tests.	4 - 5
4. 3	Specimens being capped with gypsum on day before testing	4 - 6
4. 4	Close-up of hemi-cylindrical loading pieces. The bracket that was used to centre the lower semi-sphere may be seen in the foreground.	4 - 9
4. 5	Side view diagram of hemi-cylindrical loading pieces.	4 – 9
4. 6	Wire cup brush.	4 – 10
4. 7	Diagram of Apparatus used for wirebrush test.	4 – 11
4. 8	Apparatus used for wirebrush test	4 - 12
4. 9	Apparatus used to perform two sand blast tests simultaneously.	4 - 15
4.10	Graded Silica sand from Philippi and replaceable nozzles	4 - 16
4.11	Diagram illustrating ASTM C418 equipment.	4 - 17
4.12	Ball bearing assemblies and race-way, as used in the MA20SA test.	4 - 19
4.13	Photograph illustrating MA20SA apparatus. (a) setting up of the block, (b) Close-up (c) Test underway (d) Close-up	4 - 20
4.14	Diagram illustrating MA20SA apparatus.	4 - 21
4.15	Abraded surface of the Wire brush, MA20 and ASTM C418 tests respectively.	4 - 22
4.16	Plasticine in fills used respectively in the ASTM C418 and Wire-brush tests.	4 - 22

4.17	Apparatus used in ISAT.	4 - 24
4.18	System of controlling water addition to the mixer.	4 - 25
4.19	Calcium carbide pressure vessel.	4 - 27
5.1	Blocks are being installed in a herringbone pattern on a selected 30 mm sand screed in the pedestrian sidewalk	5 - 3
5.2	Newly completed sidewalk showing the 48 colour coded mixes	5 - 3
5.3(a)	The completed road of 100 mm thick paving blocks. Both buses entering and leaving the terminus travel over the blocks.	5 - 5
5.3(b)	The 48 colour coded mixes can be seen.	5 - 5
5.4	Example of block visually assessed to have fourth degree abrasion.	5 - 6
5.5	"Before wear" photograph of a newly installed block at Westgate	5 - 7
5.6	A professional photographer photographing the sidewalk at Westgate.	5 - 8
5.7	"After wear" photograph of full block, after five and a half years of pedestrian traffic.	5 - 9
5.8	Magnified view of 50 mm x 30 mm frame facilitates a critical analysis of the surface texture.	5 - 9
5.9	Camera used to take full block 'after wear' photographs.	5 - 10
5.10	Camera used to take close view 'after wear' photos.	5 - 10
5.11	View of sidewalk blocks at Westgate, which have been cleaned and prepared for 'after wear' photography.	5 - 11
5.12	Depth gauge suitable for measuring severe abrasion.	5 - 13
5.13	Depth gauge suitable for measuring normal abrasion.	5 - 13
5.14	Application of clay to paving blocks using the syringe method.	5 - 15
5.15	Application of clay to eight paving blocks in each of the 48 mix designs (October 1993).	5 - 15
6. 1	Relationship between water content and dry density - Mix 1.	6 - 9
6. 2	Relationship between water content and dry density - Mix 2.	6 - 9
6. 3	Relationship between dry density and compressive strength - Mix 1.	6 - 11
6. 4	Relationship between dry density and compressive strength - Mix 3.	6 - 11
6. 5	Relationship between dry density and compressive strength - Mix 6.	6 - 12
6. 6	Relationship between dry density and compressive strength - Mix 8	6 - 12
6. 7	Relationship between dry density and tensile splitting strength - Mix 1	6 - 15
6. 8	Relationship between dry density and tensile splitting strength - Mix 3.	6 - 16
6. 9	Relationship between dry density and tensile splitting strength - Mix 6.	6 - 16
6.10	Relationship between dry density and tensile splitting strength - Mix 8.	6 - 17
6.11	Relationship between dry density and abrasion resistance to MA20 - Mix 1.	6 - 20
6.12	Relationship between dry density and abrasion-wear to ASTM C418 and Wire-brush PCI.TM.7.11 (clay method)- Mix 1	6 - 20
6.13	Relationship between dry density and abrasion resistance to MA20 - Mix 2	6 - 21
6.14	Relationship between dry density and abrasion-wear to ASTM C418 and Wire-brush PCI. TM. 7.11 - Mix 2	6 - 21
6.15	Relationship between dry density and abrasion resistance to MA20 - Mix 7	6 - 22
6.16	Relationship between dry density and abrasion-wear to ASTM	6 - 22

	C418 and Wire-brush PCI.TM.7.11– Mix 7	
6.17	Relationship between binder content and compressive strength.	6 – 25
6.18	Relationship between binder content and tensile splitting strength.	6 – 27
6.19	Relationship between binder content and wire-brush abrasion wear	6 – 29
6.20	Relationship between binder content and ASTM C418 abrasion wear	6 – 30
6.21	Relationship between binder content and MA20 abrasion index	6 – 30
6.A	Relationship between abrasion resistance (inverted ASTM C418) and % water content	6 – 32
6.22	Relationship between binder type and MA20 compressive strength	6 – 36
6.23	Relationship between binder type and tensile splitting strength	6 – 38
6.24	Relationship between binder type and Wire-brush abrasion wear.	6 – 40
6.25	Relationship between binder type and ASTM C418 abrasion wear	6 – 40
6.26	Relationship between binder type and MA20 abrasion index.	6 – 41
7.1	Relationship between abrasion resistance and compressive strength – 48 mixes	7 – 3
7.2	Relationship between compressive strength and dry density for each of the three compressive strength tests. – Mix 1	7 – 7
7.3	Relationship between compressive strength and dry density for each of the three compressive strength tests – Mix 2	7 – 8
7.4	Relationship between compressive strength and dry density for each of the three compressive strength test – Mix 3	7 – 8
7.5	Relationship between the SABS 1058/ASTM C140 compression tests and the MA20 compression test for 48 mixes	7 – 9
7.6	Frontal view of block in testing press	7 – 11
8.1	Nine year old blocks in a Roodepoort shopping mall.	8 - 4
8.2	Ten year old blocks at vehicular entrance to brick factory.	8 - 4
8.3	Five year old blocks in vehicular entrance to Randridge Mall.	8 - 5
8.4	Three year old blocks in vehicular entrance to Lifestyle garden centre.	8 - 5
8.5	Section illustrating zero degree abrasion.	8 - 7
8.6	Zero degree abrasion at Roodepoort mall.	8 - 8
8.7	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 - 8
8.8	Zero degree abrasion at factory entrance.	8 - 9
8.9	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 - 9
8.10	Zero degree abrasion at Randridge Mall.	8 – 10
8.11	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 10
8.12	Zero degree abrasion at Lifestyle garden centre.	8 – 11
8.13	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 11
8.14	Section illustrating first-degree abrasion.	8 – 12
8.15	First degree abrasion at Roodepoort mall.	8 – 14
8.16	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 14
8.17	First degree abrasion at factory entrance.	8 – 15
8.18	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 15
8.19	First degree abrasion at Randridge Mall, at a relatively early stage.	8 – 16
8.20	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 16
8.21	First degree abrasion (in an advanced stage) at Lifestyle garden centre.	8 – 17
8.22	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area	8 – 17
8.23	Section through a block, illustrating second-degree abrasion.	8 - 19
8.24	Second degree abrasion at Roodepoort mall.	8 – 20

8.25	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 20
8.26	Second degree abrasion at factory entrance.	8 – 21
8.27	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 21
8.28	Second degree abrasion at Randridge Mall.	8 – 22
8.29	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area	8 – 22
8.30	Second degree abrasion at Lifestyle garden centre.	8 – 23
8.31	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 23
8.32	Section through a block illustrating third degree abrasion.	8 – 24
8.33	Third degree abrasion at Roodepoort mall.	8 – 25
8.34	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 25
8.35	Third degree abrasion at factory entrance.	8 – 26
8.36	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 26
8.37	Third degree abrasion at Randridge Mall.	8 – 27
8.38	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 27
8.39	Third degree abrasion at Lifestyle garden centre.	8 – 28
8.40	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 28
8.41	Section through a block illustrating fourth degree abrasion.	8 – 30
8.42	Fourth degree abrasion at Roodepoort mall.	8 – 31
8.43	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 31
8.44	Fourth degree abrasion at factory entrance.	8 – 32
8.45	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 32
8.46	Fourth degree abrasion at Randridge Mall.	8 – 33
8.47	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 33
8.48	Fourth degree abrasion at Lifestyle garden centre.	8 – 34
8.49	Enlarged view of 50 mm x 30 mm framed area.	8 – 34
8.50	Relationship between mcd and degrees of abrasion.	8 – 39
8.52	Relationship between mean crater depth, mean visible depth and degree of abrasion.	8 – 42
8.53	Fourth degree abrasion in a fired clay brick surface.	8 – 48
8.54	Fourth degree abrasion in an asphalt surface.	8 – 49
8.55	Fourth degree abrasion in an in-situ concrete surface.	8 – 49
8.56	Fourth degree abrasion in a precast concrete slab.	8 – 50
8.57	Close view of fourth degree abrasion in a precast concrete slab.	8 – 50
9.1	Microscopic surface texture of ball and groove	9 – 5
9.2	Localised crushing of concrete by steel balls - microscopic presentation of MA20 test surface	9 – 6
9.3	High localised crushing induced by pedestrian traffic.	9 – 7
9.A	Aggregate particle nestled in a relatively soft interfacial zone is subject to rocking movements that in time result in de-bonding and dislodgement	9 – 10
9.4	Relationship between abrasion wear (depth of wear) and revolutions of race-way – Mix 1	9 – 12
9.5	Relationship between abrasion wear (depth of wear) and revolutions of race-way – Mix 2	9 – 13
9.6	Relationship between abrasion wear (depth of wear) and revolutions of race-way – Mix 3	9 – 13
9.7	Typical cross section of MA20 abrasion groove.	9 – 14
9.8	Relationship between Contact Arc Length and Abraded Depth	9 – 15
9.9	Relationship between Compressive Stress and Abraded Depth	9 – 16
9.10	Relationship between depth of groove and volume of groove.	9 – 18
9.11	Relationship between abraded volume and ball-race revolutions – Mix 1	9 – 19
9.12	Relationship between abraded volume and ball-race revolutions – Mix 2	9 – 19
9.13	Relationship between abraded volume and ball-race revolutions – Mix 3	9 – 20

9.14	Relationship between LOG P and LOG R - Mix 1.1.	9 – 27
9.15	Relationship between LOG P and LOG R - Mix 2.5.	9 – 27
9.16	Relationship between LOG P and LOG R - Mix 2.2.	9 – 28
9.17	Relationship illustrating linearity between revolutions of ball-race and volume of abraded groove.	9 – 30
9.18	Relationship between MA20 abrasion index and average compressive strength	9 – 34
9.19	Relationship between abrasion index $n_{(vol)}$ and average compressive strength	9 – 34
9.20	Relationship between MA20 abrasion index n_{log} and average compressive strength.	9 – 35
9.21	Relationship between abrasion index n_{vol} and average compressive strength	9 – 35
9.22	Relationship between abrasion index P_{5000} and average compressive strength	9 – 36
9.23	Relationship between abrasion index V_{5000} and average compressive strength	9 - 36
9.24	Correlation between la_{INT} at 5000 revolutions and la_{INT} at 2000 revolutions.	9 - 47
10.1	NBRI abrasion resistance test apparatus.	10 – 3
10.2	Wear pattern of wirebrush test. The wear pattern produced on the surface of the blocks is that of a circular crater	10 – 4
10.3	Wear pattern of concrete paver at Westgate bus terminus. Note similarity with figure 12.2.	10 – 4
10.4A	Microscopic presentation of wire bristle advancing on a <i>squat</i> asperity.	10 – 7
10.4B	Microscopic presentation of wire bristle advancing on a slender asperity.	10 – 8
10.4C	Magnified presentation of wire bristle advancing on an aggregate particle.	10 – 9
10.5	Relationship between revolutions of wire-brush (R) and abraded depth of wirebrush crater (P) - Mix 2.	10 – 12
10.6	Relationship between revolutions of wire-brush (R) and abraded depth of wirebrush crater (P) - Mix 4.	10 – 12
10.7	Relationship between revolutions of wire-brush (R) and abraded depth of wirebrush crater (P) - Mix 7.	10 – 13
10.8	Correlation of wire-dial abrasion index with 'average' compressive strength.	10 – 19
10.9	Correlation of wire-vern abrasion index with 'average' compressive strength.	10 – 19
10.10	Correlation of wire-clay abrasion index with 'average' compressive strength.	10 – 10
10.11	Example of aggregate protecting mortar component Van Wyk Street, Roodepoort.	10 – 26
11.1	Typical craters of the ASTM C418 test.	11 – 4
11.2	Localised crushing of paste by silica sand particle	11 – 6
11.3	Relationship between ASTM C418 abrasion index and dry density	11 – 9
11.4	Correlation of ASTM C418 abrasion index with 'average' compressive strength.	11 – 11
11.5	A typical close up view of a crater after one minute of sand blasting.	11 – 15
12.1	Polished appearance of high strength blocks after five years of traffic at Westgate	12 – 11
12.2	Highly exposed aggregate particles of blocks in service for 8 years at factory entrance.	12 – 11

12.3	Correlation of MA20 (volume of groove) with 'average' compressive strength	12 – 16
12.4	Correlation of wire-brush (volume of crater) with 'average' compressive strength.	12 – 16
12.5	Correlation of ASTM C418 (volume of eight craters) with corresponding 'average' compressive strength.	12 – 17
12.6	Sukandar (1993). Relationship between depth of wear and compressive strength using ASTM C779 Proc.	12 – 18
12.7	Relationship of volume of wear ratios with 'average' compressive strength.	12 – 20
13.1	Relationship between dry density and (1)water absorption to ASTM C140 and SABS 0164 - Mix 1: 9% OPC, 9%MGBS	13 - 7
13.2	Relationship between dry density and water absorption to ASTM C140 and SABS 0164 - Mix 2: 7% OPC, 7% MGBS	13 - 8
13.3	Relationship between dry density and water absorption to ASTM C140 and SABS 0164 - Mix 3: 5% OPC, 5% MGBS	13 - 8
13.0A	Effect of binder content on water absorption (ASTM C 140) for 'dry' and 'wet' mixes	13 - 9
13.4	Correlation between ASTM C140 water absorption and dry Density	13 – 11
13.5	Correlation between ISAT (SABS 0164) and dry density.	13 – 11
13.6	Correlation between ASTM C140 water absorption and 'average' compressive strength.	13 – 12
13.7	Correlation between ISAT SABS 0164 and 'average' compressive strength.	13 – 12
13.8	Correlation of ASTM C140 with wireclay abrasion-wear index.	13 – 14
13.9	Correlation of ISAT with wireclay abrasion-wear index.	13 – 14
13.10	Correlation of ASTM C140 with ASTM C418 abrasion-wear index.	13 – 15
13.11	Correlation of ISAT with ATM C418 abrasion-wear index	13 – 15
13.12	Correlation of ASTM C140 with MA20 abrasion index	13 – 16
13.13	Correlation of ISAT with MA20 abrasion index	13 – 16
13.14	Water absorption to ASTM C140 for eight mix designs	13 – 18
13.15	Initial surface absorption to SABS O164 for eight mix designs.	13 – 18
14.1	Relationship between mean crater depth (mcd) in the bus lane and sidewalk after six years of traffic.	14 - 6
14.2	Relationship between mean visible depth (mvd) in the sidewalk and bus lane after six years of traffic.	14 - 6
14.3	Visual appearance of a newly installed block at Westgate, October 1987.	14 - 7
14.4	Visual presentation of wear in the sidewalk, for mix 1.3. The area enclosed by the rectangle in figure 14.4 is magnified in figure 14.5. A mcd of 0,84 mm and a mvd of 0,27 mm were recorded. (See column B and C of table 14.1).	14 - 8
14.5	Magnified view of figure 14.4 Note the 'S' refers to sidewalk, and '1.3' indicates that it is the third wettest mix of mix design 1..	14 - 8
14.6	Relationship between mean visible depth (mvd) in the sidewalk and bus lane after six years of traffic.	14 - 9
14.7	Relationship between mean visible depth quantitatively Measured by (clay method) and degrees of abrasion (visually assessed). Bus lane.	14 – 10
14.8	Relationship between mean visible depth quantitatively measured by (clay method) and degrees of abrasion (visually assessed). Sidewalk.	14 – 11

14.9	Correlation between dry density and mean visible depth - sidewalk.	14 – 14
14.10	Correlation between dry density and mean visible depth) - bus lane.	14 – 14
14.11	Correlation between MA20 compressive strength and mean visible depth - sidewalk.	14 – 15
14.12	Correlation between MA20 compressive strength and mean visible depth - bus lane	14 – 15
14.13	Correlation between tensile splitting strength and mean visible depth - sidewalk.	14 - 16
14.14	Correlation between tensile splitting strength and mean visible depth - bus lane.	14 – 16
14.15	Correlation between MA20 abrasion index and mean crater depth	14 – 17
14.16	Correlation between MA20 abrasion index and mean visible depth	14 – 17
14.17	Correlation between wire brush abrasion index (clay method) and mean visible depth – sidewalk	14 – 18
14.18	Correlation between wire brush abrasion index (clay method) and mean visible depth – bus lane	14 – 18
14.19	Correlation between ASTM C418 abrasion index (clay method) and mean crater depth – sidewalk	14 – 19
14.20	Correlation between ASTM C418 abrasion index (clay method) and mean visible depth - bus lane.	14 – 19
14.21	Correlation between ISAT and mean visible depth – sidewalk	14 – 20
14.22	Correlation between ISAT and mean visible depth – bus lane	14 – 20
14.23	Relationship between 28 day MA20SA abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 24
14.23R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.23, considering all 18 points together	14 – 24
14.24	Relationship between 28-day MA20SA abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - bus lane.	14 – 25
14.24R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.24. considering all 18 points together	14 – 25
14.25	Relationship between 28-day wire brush abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 26
14.25R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.25, considering all 18 points together	14 – 26
14.26	Relationship between 28-day wire brush abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - bus lane.	14 – 27
14.26R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.26, considering all 18 points together	14 – 27
14.27	Relationship between 28-day ASTM C418 Abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, or different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 28
14.27R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.27, considering all 18 points together	14 – 28
14.28	Relationship between 28-day ASTM C418 Abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - bus lane.	14 – 29
14.28R ²	R ² coefficients of figure 14.28, considering all 18 points together	14 – 29
14.29	Relationship between 28-day ISAT and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 30
14.23a	28 day MA20SA abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 32
14.24a	28 day MA20SA abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents - bus lane	14 – 32

14-25a	28-day wire brush abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents – sidewalk.	14 – 33
14.26a	28-day wire brush abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents - bus lane	14 – 33
14.27a	28-day ASTM C418 abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents - sidewalk.	14 – 34
14.28a	28-day ASTM C418 abrasion index limiting criteria, for different binder contents - bus lane.	14 – 34
14.29	Relationship between 28day ISAT and mean visible depth after 6 years, for different binder contents - sidewalk	14 - 30
14.30	Relationship between 28-day ISAT and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder contents - bus lane.	14 – 30
14.31	Relationship between the 28-day MA20SA abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types - sidewalk.	14 - 37
14.31R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.31, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – sidewalk	14 – 37
14.32	Relationship between the 28-day MA20SA abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types – bus lane.	14 – 38
14.32 R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.32, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – bus lane	14 – 38
14.33	Relationship between the 28-day wire brush abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types - sidewalk	14 – 39
14.33 R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.33, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – sidewalk	14 – 39
14.34	Relationship between the 28-day wire brush abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types – bus lane.	14 – 40
14.34 R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.34, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – bus lane	14 – 40
14.35	Relationship between the 28-day ASTM C418 abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types - sidewalk.	14 – 41
14.35 R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.35, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – sidewalk	14 - 41
14.36	Relationship between the 28-day ASTM C418 abrasion index and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types – bus lane.	14 – 42
14.36 R ²	R ² Coefficients of figure 14.36, showing the six trend lines of the six binder types – bus lane	14 – 42
14.37	Relationship between the 28-day ISAT and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types - sidewalk.	14 – 43
14.38	Relationship between the 28-day ISAT and mean visible depth after 6-years, for different binder types – bus lane.	14 – 43
14.39	Design graph for mix 1 indicating relationship between 28-day MA20SA index and mvd after 6-years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	14 – 47
14.40	Design graph for mix 2 indicating relationship between 28-day MA20SA index and mvd after 6-years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	14 – 47
14.41	Design graph for mix 3 indicating relationship between 28 day MA20SA index and mvd after six years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	14 – 48
14.42	Design graph for mix 4 indicating relationship between 28 day MA20SA index and mvd after six years. The effect of	14 – 48

	different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	
14.43	Design graph for mix 5 indicating relationship between 28 day MA20SA index and mvd after six years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown	14 – 49
14.44	Design graph for mix 6 indicating relationship between 28-day MA20SA index and mvd after 6-years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	14 – 49
14.45	Design graph for mix 7 indicating relationship between 28-day MA20SA index and mvd after 6-years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown.	14 – 50
14.46	Design graph for mix 8 indicating relationship between 28-day MA20SA index and mvd after 6-years. The effect of different traffic regimes and water content is shown	14 – 50
15.1	Relationship between 28-day MA20SA indices and mvd, illustrating how the indices bear little relation to the recorded wear of mixes of different binders	15 - 4
15.1-R ²	Trend lines for figure 15.1 indicate	15 - 4
15.2	28-day and 24-hour abrasion indices corresponding to the 90 day national index, for a number of mixes (made from three water contents and subject to two curing regimes)	15 – 12
15.A	Papenfus's penetrometer for estimating the density and strength of the surface zone of pavers.	15 – 17
15.3	Flow chart summarising design, manufacturing and quality assurance programme for providing adequate abrasion resistance in concrete pavers	15 – 20